such is often the case in the continuous process industries when measurements are taken close together in time. In order to reinforce our claim Figure 1 of this reply displays results of funnel simulations and corresponding control charts when the autoregressive parameter $\phi = 0.8$, a not unusual value which is often exceeded in practice. The reduction in variability when Rule 2^+ is operated is significant. Indeed, inspection of Figure 3 in our paper shows that Rule 2^+ always reduces the process variability, while in the standard situation nothing is lost since the original measurements are unchanged by the adjustment process. We have not yet investigated how our Rule 2^+ compares with the moving centre-line exponentially weighted moving average approach of Mastrangelo and Montgomery[3].

References

1. Shewhart, WA, *Economic Control of Quality of Manufactured Product*, 1931 (Van Nostrand, New York).
2. Alwan, LC and Roberts, HV, 'Time series modelling for statistical process control', *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*, 1988, Vol. 6, No. 1, pp87-95.
3. Mastrangelo, CM and Montgomery, DC, 'SPC with correlated observations for the chemical and process industries', *Quality and Reliability Engineering International*, 1995, Vol. 11, pp79-89.

QWTS March & September 1996 - Author Index

ALDERMAN, G, *paper on* 'Self-regulation versus inspection: the quality debate in British higher education', 73

AL-JANABI, A, *paper on* 'Using quality design metrics to monitor software development', 25

ANTHONEY, S, *discussion on* 'The funnel experiment', 114

ANTONY, J, *paper on* 'An application of Taguchi's robust parameter design methodology for process improvement', 35; *paper on* 'Optimisation of core tube life using Taguchi experimental design methodology', 42

ASPINWALL, E, *paper on* 'Using quality design metrics to monitor software development', 25

DALE, BG, *paper on* 'Total quality management research and teaching: the latest picture from Europe's leading universities and business schools', 108

DAVIS, J, *paper on* 'Self assessment: a path to business excellence', 4

DEVEREUX, I, *paper on* 'Implementing NAMAS (now UKAS) in a laboratory', 12

EDWARDS, DJ, *paper on* 'A brief history of quality issues in higher education', 68

GOULDEN, C, *paper on* 'Process quality costing: a lesson in application', 55

HANNAH, I, *paper on* 'The management of quality in higher education: a perspective from Scotland', 84

HO, S, *paper on* 'Japanese 5-S practice in practice', 20

JONES, R, *paper on* 'The funnel experiment', 51; *discussion on* 'The funnel experiment', 115

KAYE, M, *paper on* 'An application of Taguchi's robust parameter design methodology for process improvement', 35; *paper on* 'Optimisation of core tube life using Taguchi experimental design methodology', 42

KHODABOCUS, F, *paper on* 'Self assessment: a path to business excellence', 4

KUSHLER, R, *paper on* 'The funnel experiment', 51; *discussion on* 'The funnel experiment', 115

LEASK, E, *paper on* 'Quality: a student's eye view', 103

MAZHARSOLOOK, E, *paper on* 'An application of Taguchi's robust parameter design methodology for process improvement', 35

OBRAY, C, *paper on* 'Self assessment: a path to business excellence', 4

RAWLINS, L, *paper on* 'Process quality costing: a lesson in application', 55

ROONEY, EM, *paper on* 'Assuring quality in higher education', 77

SPARKES, J, *paper on* 'Quality in higher education', 90

TURNER, R, *paper on* 'Testing and the significance of variation in the classroom', 97

VAN DER WIELE, A, *paper on* 'Total quality management research and teaching: the latest picture from Europe's leading universities and business schools', 108

WEIGHT, T, *discussion on* 'The funnel experiment', 114

WINTERBOTTOM, A, *paper on* 'The funnel experiment', 51; *discussion on* 'The funnel experiment', 115

such is often the case in the continuous process industries when measurements are taken close together in time. In order to reinforce our claim Figure 1 of this reply displays results of funnel simulations and corresponding control charts when the autoregressive parameter $\phi = 0.8$, a not unusual value which is often exceeded in practice. The reduction in variability when Rule 2^+ is operated is significant. Indeed, inspection of Figure 3 in our paper shows that Rule 2^+ always reduces the process variability, while in the standard situation nothing is lost since the original measurements are unchanged by the adjustment process. We have not yet investigated how our Rule 2^+ compares with the moving centre-line exponentially weighted moving average approach of Mastrangelo and Montgomery[3].

References

1. Shewhart, WA, *Economic Control of Quality of Manufactured Product*, 1931 (Van Nostrand, New York).
2. Alwan, LC and Roberts, HV, 'Time series modelling for statistical process control', *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*, 1988, Vol. 6, No. 1, pp87-95.
3. Mastrangelo, CM and Montgomery, DC, 'SPC with correlated observations for the chemical and process industries', *Quality and Reliability Engineering International*, 1995, Vol. 11, pp79-89.

QWTS March & September 1996 - Author Index

ALDERMAN, G, *paper on* 'Self-regulation versus inspection: the quality debate in British higher education', 73

AL-JANABI, A, *paper on* 'Using quality design metrics to monitor software development', 25

ANTHONEY, S, *discussion on* 'The funnel experiment', 114

ANTONY, J, *paper on* 'An application of Taguchi's robust parameter design methodology for process improvement', 35; *paper on* 'Optimisation of core tube life using Taguchi experimental design methodology', 42

ASPINWALL, E, *paper on* 'Using quality design metrics to monitor software development', 25

DALE, BG, *paper on* 'Total quality management research and teaching: the latest picture from Europe's leading universities and business schools', 108

DAVIS, J, *paper on* 'Self assessment: a path to business excellence', 4

DEVEREUX, I, *paper on* 'Implementing NAMAS (now UKAS) in a laboratory', 12

EDWARDS, DJ, *paper on* 'A brief history of quality issues in higher education', 68

GOULDEN, C, *paper on* 'Process quality costing: a lesson in application', 55

HANNAH, I, *paper on* 'The management of quality in higher education: a perspective from Scotland', 84

HO, S, *paper on* 'Japanese 5-S practice in practice', 20

JONES, R, *paper on* 'The funnel experiment', 51; *discussion on* 'The funnel experiment', 115

KAYE, M, *paper on* 'An application of Taguchi's robust parameter design methodology for process improvement', 35; *paper on* 'Optimisation of core tube life using Taguchi experimental design methodology', 42

KHODABOCUS, F, *paper on* 'Self assessment: a path to business excellence', 4

KUSHLER, R, *paper on* 'The funnel experiment', 51; *discussion on* 'The funnel experiment', 115

LEASK, E, *paper on* 'Quality: a student's eye view', 103

MAZHARSOLOOK, E, *paper on* 'An application of Taguchi's robust parameter design methodology for process improvement', 35

OBRAY, C, *paper on* 'Self assessment: a path to business excellence', 4

RAWLINS, L, *paper on* 'Process quality costing: a lesson in application', 55

ROONEY, EM, *paper on* 'Assuring quality in higher education', 77

SPARKES, J, *paper on* 'Quality in higher education', 90

TURNER, R, *paper on* 'Testing and the significance of variation in the classroom', 97

VAN DER WIELE, A, *paper on* 'Total quality management research and teaching: the latest picture from Europe's leading universities and business schools', 108

WEIGHT, T, *discussion on* 'The funnel experiment', 114

WINTERBOTTOM, A, *paper on* 'The funnel experiment', 51; *discussion on* 'The funnel experiment', 115

QWTS March & September 1996 - subject index

Accreditation of laboratory by NAMAS (now UKAS) 12
Affective domain, learning in 93
ANOVA for process optimisation 35, 42
Audit
  and assessment, academic 68, 73, 77, 84
  and review of quality systems in a NAMAS-accredited laboratory 17
  evaluation process (EQA model) 8

Boxplot, to evaluate design metrics for software 30, 32
BS 6143:1992 Part 1 process model, quality costing system based on 55
BS EN ISO 9000 and HE 75, 79, 87, 88
Business excellence, through self assessment 4

CANOE time sheet for nonconformance activities 60
Cognitive domain, learning in 91
Committee of Directors of Polytechnics 70
Committee of Vice Chancellors and Principals 70, 74, 79
Construction industry, NAMAS (now UKAS) accreditation in 12
Control chart, use of in software design 31
Core tube life, optimisation of 42
Cost/benefits of becoming NAMAS (now UKAS) accredited 13, 15, 17, 18
Costing system, quality (QCS) 55
Costs, quality 105
Customer satisfaction 103

Definition(s)
  of attitude 93
  of excellence in HE 74, 81
  of know-how 92
  of knowledge 91
  of motivation 93
  of noise factors 36
  of quality 103
  of quality in HE 75, 78, 84, 90
  of skill 92
  of stable/in control process 114
  of understanding 92
  of value 93
Deming's funnel experiment 51, 114
Department of Transport (DTP) 13
Design methodology, Taguchi's 35, 42
Design metrics for software 25
Directory of TQM 108

Education. *See under* Higher education (British)
Engineering, education in 90

European business schools and universities, TQM teaching and research in 108
European Quality Award (EQA) model, for self assessment 4, 110, 112

5-S. *See under* Japanese 5-S technique
Funding councils for HE. *See under* Quality in HE, audit\assessment of
Funnel experiment 51, 114

Global primitive metrics 33

Higher education (British)
  audit and assessment of quality in 68, 73, 77, 84
  Scottish Quality Management System for 84
  students' learning methods in 90
  testing and the significance of variation in 97
  See also TQM research and teaching in European business schools and universities
Higher Education Funding Council 71, 72, 74, 80
Higher Education Quality Council 72, 80

Information flow metrics 33
Interpretation rules for design metrics, Heitkoetter's 28, 32
ISO 9000. *See under* BS EN ISO 9000 and HE

Japanese 5-S technique 20
Joint Planning Group 73, 76, 82

Laboratory, NAMAS (now UKAS) accreditation of 12
Learning goals in HE, specification and achievement of 70, 79, 90, 100, 102
Luck, role of, in testing 97

Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award (MBNQA) 4
Motivation
  definition of 93
  lack of, for QCS data collection 62
  through 5-S technique 22

NAMAS (now UKAS) accreditation in a laboratory 12
Network metrics 33

Orthogonal array design, selection of 37, 38, 45

Performance indicators, for evidence of quality in HE 88
Prevention appraisal failure model and Marsh's process model for quality costing 57
Problem classification 44

Quality characteristics, static signal-to-noise ratio for 36
Quality costing system, implementation of 55
Quality costs 105
Quality, definitions of. *See under* Definition(s)
Quality design metrics for monitoring software development 25
Quality gurus' brief definitions of quality 104
Quality in HE, audit\assessment of
  literature-driven reviews\discussion 69
  research-driven projects 69
  role of the funding councils 71, 72, 74, 76, 80, 82, 84
  Scottish Quality Management System 84
  See also Higher education (British)
Quality issues in HE. *See under* Higher education (British)
Quality management
  for customer satisfaction 103
  of HE in Scotland 84
Questionnaire(s)
  on quality in HE 69
  on TQM research and teaching in Europe 109

Robust parameter design, Taguchi's 35

Scottish Quality Management System 84
Seiri, seiton, seiso, seiketsu and shitsuke (5-S) 20
Self assessment through the EQA model 4. *See also* Quality in HE, audit\assessment of
Signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). *See under* Taguchi methods, case studies of
Significance of variation, concept of, in HE 97
Software development, use of quality design metrics to monitor 25
Stability metrics 33
Stable/in control process, definition of 114
Standards and Industrial Research Institute of Malaysia, brief case study of 5-S in 24
Standards in HE, government attitudes to 75
Statistical process control and the influence of luck 98
Statistical quality control. *See under* Taguchi methods, case studies of
Surfacing contractor's laboratory, implementing NAMAS (now UKAS) in 12
Survey. *See under* Questionnaire(s)

Taguchi methods, case studies of 35, 42
Teaching methods in HE 95. *See also* Higher education (British)
Testing and the significance of variation in HE 97
TQM research and teaching in European business schools and universities 108
Training in 5-S 23
Training records, for NAMAS (now UKAS) accreditation 16

UKAS. *See under* NAMAS (now UKAS) accreditation in a laboratory
Univariate statistical methods, to evaluate software design metrics 27, 32

Visual management 22